**Minnesota Sports Facilities Authority**
**Request for Proposals for Stadium Firewall Replacement**
**Addendum One**
**October 14, 2020**

Question and Answers

**Q.** Are you looking for similar throughput in the implementation or need this to be scalable? If yes, what are the projects that are in the pipeline that will consume throughput.
**A.** Since our Final Four and Super Bowl have already occurred, there are no events on the horizon that will likely need throughput higher than what is proposed.

**Q:** Does there needs to be a DR site that needs to be mirrored from the existing architecture?
**A:** While we would eventually like to have a DR environment, that is not currently part of our infrastructure.

**Q:** How many Cisco AnyConnect users/clients does the current infrastructure support?  Is the count expected to remain steady or are new users expected?
**A:** Since posting the RFP, I was informed that our Cisco AnyConnect connections are handled outside of the 5585s, so that will likely not be a part of the final scope. That said, the numbers of connections used is going down and I do not expect this number to ever be higher than 100.

**Q:** Is the Cisco 5585x cluster active/passive or active/active?
**A:**  All three 5585s in the cluster are active all the time.

**Q:** Can the outside links be consolidated to use 3-40gigs rather than 6-gigs?
**A:** We prefer to leave the BGP configuration as is, but are open to suggestions to make it more efficient.

**Q:** Instead of a cluster with 3 devices would you be willing to consider a cluster with 2 devices with higher throughput?
**A:** Designs submitted can be two devices, as long as an HA environment is maintained.