



MINNESOTA SPORTS FACILITIES AUTHORITY
900 South 5th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

New Minnesota Multipurpose Stadium
RFP - Quality Control and Special Inspections

ADDENDUM NO. TWO

January 2, 2014

This Addendum forms a part of the Proposal Documents as issued on December 11, 2013. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the proposal. Failure to do so may subject Proposer to disqualification.

This Addendum consists of 3 pages and two attachments (Exhibit A & Exhibit B).

New - Change

1. Castellated beams will be replaced by wide flange shapes.

Answers to Questions

1. How many rigs will the contractor be using for caisson installation? We ask as this will determine the number of technicians required.

Answer: Caisson Contractor is intending to complete the drilling with one rig. This is subject to change if the progress is not keeping with the schedule.

2. The specifications call for 100% UT inspection of casing butt welds. Has the contractor indicated what type of casing splice they will be using, mechanical or weld? Have they provided a detail?

Answer: Contractor will not have any permanent casings. All casings will be removed. No welding of casings is anticipated.

3. To better estimate the quantities of sets of compressive strength cylinders, can you provide us with the total volume quantity of cast-in-place concrete for the project and if possible include the breakdown for various components that comprise the quantity. For example, total yards of columns, drilled shafts, foundations, walls, decks, etc.

Answer: See Exhibits A & B attached. The quantities are based on the 100% DD drawings and will change as the 100% Construction Documents are completed. Exhibit B only shows proposed concrete foundation wall pours as well as structural slab pours. Proposers should refer to the CIP Concrete schedule for all other concrete pours.

4. Can you provide guidance on the minimum expectations of qualifications of staff for various roles such as years of experience, qualifications, certifications, project experience etc.

Answer: We are looking for experienced staff for the work. There is no minimum expectation of qualifications. However, that being said, those proposals that in the sole analysis and determination of the Authority appear stronger from an experience perspective will be considered of greater value.

5. It was stated that American Engineering Testing (AET) in their role as geotechnical engineer of record, will provide the quality assurance related evaluation and testing of soils and bedrock bearing surface for the project as well as testing of fill soil placed as part of the project. Can you confirm the AET scope of work?

Answer: AET will remain as the geotechnical engineer of record. AET will provide testing of existing soils and bedrock bearing surfaces. *The proposer will be responsible for testing of placed soils.*

6. What is the rationale of the concrete specs giving the testing agency the right for rejection of any "out of specification" material? Our normal protocol is to first inform the Project Construction Manager.

Answer: ITL has authority to reject.

9. How much concrete is scheduled to be placed in each type of structure? Can you provide a quantity breakdown for caissons, columns, retaining walls, deck slabs and slab-on-grade?

Answer: See Exhibit A

10. The same question as stated above for the concrete; why do the structural steel specs give the testing agency the right for rejection of any material?

Answer: ITL has authority to reject.

11. Is there a space on-site for a trailer with electrical and internet service access, or will MSFC be providing this office space.

Answer: Mortenson will provide space. Provide in proposal amount of space required.

12. Section 10.1.5.1 Professional Liability Coverage- We suspect the text indicates the aggregate amount of coverage should be Two Million and not Twenty Million.

Answer: Two Million.

13. Section 11 Independent Contractor-Paragraph 11.4 requires Contractor to pay wages at not less than prevailing wages as determined by Minnesota Statute Section 177.42. This requirement generally impacts Professional Service firms on Design Build Projects and then only those performing basic concrete testing. It

also generally requires the classification of overtime as time over 8 hours of work? Will this OT requirement then also apply to the other professional classifications.

Answer: NA

END OF ADDENDUM TWO